

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328359443>

# Newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Europe: recommendations from a Pan-European Consensus Conference

Article in *British Journal of Haematology* · October 2018

DOI: 10.1111/bjh.15600

CITATIONS

29

READS

826

45 authors, including:



**Stephan Lobitz**

Gemeinschaftsklinikum Mittelrhein Koblenz

66 PUBLICATIONS 876 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Paul T Telfer**

Barts Health NHS Trust

150 PUBLICATIONS 3,698 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Elena Cela**

Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón

85 PUBLICATIONS 612 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Bichr Allaf**

Hôpital Universitaire Robert Debré

15 PUBLICATIONS 48 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:






Platelet inhibitors in Sickle Cell Disease [View project](#)



SPIN Trial Nigeria [View project](#)

# Newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Europe: recommendations from a Pan-European Consensus Conference

Stephan Lobitz,<sup>1,2</sup>  Paul Telfer,<sup>3</sup> Elena Cela,<sup>4</sup>  Bichr Allaf,<sup>5</sup> Michael Angastiniotis,<sup>6</sup> Carolina Backman Johansson,<sup>7</sup> Catherine Badens,<sup>8</sup> Celeste Bento,<sup>9</sup> Marelle J. Bouva,<sup>10</sup> Duran Canatan,<sup>11</sup> Matthew Charlton,<sup>12</sup> Cathy Coppinger,<sup>12</sup> Yvonne Daniel,<sup>12</sup> Marianne de Montalembert,<sup>13</sup> Patrick Ducoroy,<sup>14</sup> Elena Dulin,<sup>4</sup> Ralph Fingerhut,<sup>15</sup> Claudia Frömmel,<sup>16</sup> Marina García-Morin,<sup>4</sup> Béatrice Gulbis,<sup>17</sup> Ute Holtkamp,<sup>18</sup> Baba Inusa,<sup>19</sup> John James,<sup>20</sup> Marina Kleanthous,<sup>21</sup> Jeannette Klein,<sup>22</sup> Joachim B. Kunz,<sup>23</sup> Lisa Langabeer,<sup>24</sup> Claudine Lapoumériou,<sup>25</sup> Ana Marcao,<sup>26</sup> José L. Marín Soria,<sup>27</sup> Corrina McMahon,<sup>24</sup> Kwaku Ohene-Frempong,<sup>28</sup> Jean-Marc Périni,<sup>29</sup> Frédéric B. Piel,<sup>30</sup>  Giovanna Russo,<sup>31</sup> Laura Sainati,<sup>32</sup> Markus Schmugge,<sup>33</sup> Allison Streetly,<sup>34,35</sup> Leon Tshilolo,<sup>36</sup> Charles Turner,<sup>37</sup> Donatella Venturelli,<sup>38</sup> Laura Vilarinho,<sup>26</sup> Rachel Yahyaoui,<sup>39</sup> and Jacques Elion,<sup>25</sup> Raffaella Colombatti<sup>32</sup> with the endorsement of Euro-BloodNet, the European Reference Network in Rare Haematological Diseases

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatric Oncology/Haematology, Kinderkrankenhaus Amsterdamer Straße, Cologne, <sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatric Oncology/Haematology/BMT, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Haematology, Bart's Health National Health Service Trust, Royal London Hospital, London, United Kingdom, <sup>4</sup>Department of Paediatric Oncology/Haematology, Hospital Universitario General Gregorio Marañón, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense Madrid, Madrid, Spain, <sup>5</sup>NBS Laboratory for haemoglobinopathies, Hôpital universitaire Robert-Debré, Paris, France, <sup>6</sup>Thalassaemia International Federation, Nicosia, Cyprus, <sup>7</sup>Centre for Inherited Metabolic Diseases – PKU Laboratory, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden,

## Summary

Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) is an increasing global health problem and presents significant challenges to European health care systems. Newborn screening (NBS) for SCD enables early initiation of preventive measures and has contributed to a reduction in childhood mortality from SCD. Policies and methodologies for NBS vary in different countries, and this might have consequences for the quality of care and clinical outcomes for SCD across Europe. A two-day Pan-European consensus conference was held in Berlin in April 2017 in order to appraise the current status of NBS for SCD and to develop consensus-based statements on indications and methodology for NBS for SCD in Europe. More than 50 SCD experts from 13 European countries participated in the conference. This paper aims to summarise the discussions and present consensus recommendations which can be used to support the development of NBS programmes in European countries where they do not yet exist, and to review existing programmes.

**Keywords:** sickle cell disease, sickle cell anaemia, haemoglobinopathies, newborn screening, prevention.

<sup>8</sup>Département de génétique médicale, Aix-Marseille Université, Hôpital de la Timone, Marseille, France, <sup>9</sup>Department of Haematology, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>10</sup>Centre for Infectious Disease Research, Diagnostics and Screening, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, <sup>11</sup>Haemoglobinopathy Diagnosis Centre, Mediterranean Blood Diseases Foundation, Antalya, Turkey, <sup>12</sup>Public Health England, NHS Sickle Cell and Thalassaemia Screening Programme, London, United Kingdom, <sup>13</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Reference Centre for Sickle Cell Disease, AP-HP Hôpital Universitaire Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris, <sup>14</sup>BIOMANEO, Dijon, France, <sup>15</sup>NBS Laboratory, Universitätskinderhospital Zürich – Eleonorenstiftung, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>16</sup>Labor Berlin – Charité Vivantes GmbH, Berlin, Germany, <sup>17</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, Cliniques universitaires de Bruxelles, Hôpital Erasme – ULB, Bruxelles, Belgium, <sup>18</sup>Screening-Labor Hannover, Ronnenberg, Germany, <sup>19</sup>Evelina London Children’s Hospital, Guy’s and St Thomas’ NHS Trust, <sup>20</sup>Sickle Cell Society UK, London, United Kingdom, <sup>21</sup>Molecular Genetics Thalassaemia Department, The Cyprus School of Molecular Medicine, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Nicosia, Cyprus, <sup>22</sup>NBS Laboratory, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, <sup>23</sup>Department of Paediatric Oncology, Haematology and Immunology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>24</sup>Our Lady’s Children’s Hospital, Crumlin, Dublin, Ireland, <sup>25</sup>Laboratoire d’Excellence GR-Ex, UMR\_S1134, Inserm, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Institut National de la Transfusion Sanguine, Paris, France, <sup>26</sup>Department of Human Genetics - Newborn Screening, Metabolism and Genetics Unit, Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Porto, Portugal, <sup>27</sup>Programa de Cribado Neonatal, Sección Errores Congénitos del Metabolismo, Servicio de Bioquímica y Genética Molecular, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>28</sup>Sickle Cell Foundation of Ghana, Kumasi, Ghana, <sup>29</sup>NBS Laboratory, University Hospital of Lille, Lille, France, <sup>30</sup>Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, <sup>31</sup>Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Paediatric Haemato-Oncology Unit, University of Catania, Catania, <sup>32</sup>Department of Child and Maternal Health, Clinic of Paediatric Haematology/Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliera-Università di Padova, Padova, Italy, <sup>33</sup>Department of Paediatric Haematology, Universitätskinderhospital Zürich – Eleonorenstiftung, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>34</sup>School of Population Health and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, King’s College London, <sup>35</sup>Division of Healthcare Public Health, Health Protection and Medical Directorate, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom, <sup>36</sup>Centre Hospitalier Monkole, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo, <sup>37</sup>WellChild Laboratory, Evelina London Children’s Hospital, London, United Kingdom, <sup>38</sup>Department of Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital of Modena, Modena, Italy and <sup>39</sup>Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga, Málaga Regional University Hospital, Málaga, Spain

Received 8 February 2018; accepted for publication 26 July 2018

Correspondence: Dr. med. Stephan Lobitz, Kinderkrankenhaus Amsterdamer Straße, Department of Paediatric Haematology/Oncology, Amsterdamer Straße 59, 51107 Köln, Germany.

E-mail: LobitzS@Kliniken-Koeln.de

## Introduction

Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) is an autosomal recessive inherited blood condition that has recently been reviewed elsewhere (Piel *et al*, 2017; Ware *et al*, 2017). Briefly, the sickle mutation causes a substitution of valine for glutamic acid at position 6 of the beta globin chain. This results in a defective haemoglobin molecule (HbS) that can aggregate and form polymers with adjacent haemoglobin molecules when in the deoxygenated state. As a consequence, red blood cells become damaged by polymerised HbS. Repeated cycles of polymerisation-depolymerisation damage the erythrocyte cytoskeleton and cell membrane, leading to a decrease in erythrocyte lifespan that is clinically apparent as haemolysis and its sequelae. There is also defective flow of red blood cells in the microcirculation resulting in occlusion of capillaries and postcapillary venules. Haemolytic and vaso-occlusive phenomena give rise to vascular remodelling and large vessel complications. Both, acute infarctions and large vessel disease cause progressive life-limiting organ damage.

Complications of vaso-occlusion include dactylitis (painful swelling to the hands and/or feet), acute pain episodes, acute chest syndrome and others. Children with SCD are particularly prone to Invasive Pneumococcal Disease (IPD)

as a result of functional hypo-/asplenia (Overturf *et al*, 1977; Powars *et al*, 1983; Wong *et al*, 1992a; Payne *et al*, 2013). Other causes of morbidity and mortality include acute anaemia secondary to splenic sequestration, parvovirus B19 infection and malaria (in endemic regions) (Ballas *et al*, 2010). Complications of SCD result in frequent hospitalization for treatment, which is burdensome for health care systems (Brozovic *et al*, 1987; Colombatti *et al*, 2008; Lanzkron *et al*, 2010; Raphael *et al*, 2013; Bou-Maroun *et al*, 2018).

Globally, SCD is among the most commonly inherited disorders. Every year, more than 300 000 babies are born with SCD, the majority in Sub-Saharan Africa and in India (Piel *et al*, 2013, 2016; Serjeant, 2017; Ware *et al*, 2017). Although morbidity and mortality rates in affected children from these regions are very high (Grosse *et al*, 2011; Makani *et al*, 2011), outcomes have been dramatically improved in higher income countries by implementation of early preventive measures and improvements in comprehensive care (Gaston *et al*, 1986; Vichinsky *et al*, 1988; Quinn *et al*, 2010; Le *et al*, 2015; Couque *et al*, 2016). Life-threatening early complications of SCD can be reduced by parental education and preventive medical interventions (Quinn *et al*, 2010; Wang *et al*, 2011; Yawn *et al*, 2014;

Couque *et al*, 2016). Both Pneumococcal prophylaxis with oral penicillin from 3 months of age and pneumococcal vaccination significantly reduce the risk of IPD (Overturf & Powars, 1980; Gaston *et al*, 1986; Wong *et al*, 1992b; Falletta *et al*, 1995; Sobota *et al*, 2015; Rankine-Mullings & Owusu-Ofori, 2017). Parents can be taught how to recognise signs and symptoms of anaemia, and how to examine for splenic enlargement so that they can bring the child to medical attention promptly and avoid adverse outcomes from acute splenic sequestration (Wang *et al*, 2011). These observations have helped to support inclusion of SCD in the newborn screening (NBS) programmes of several European countries (Table I and II).

There are two alternative approaches to NBS. “Targeted screening” takes the ethnic ancestry of every newborn into account. Testing is restricted to babies whose parental family origins are from ‘at risk’ ethnic groups. In contrast, “universal screening” is offered to the whole newborn population irrespective of family origins.

In its publication “A Roadmap for European Haematology Research” (Engert *et al*, 2016), the European Haematology Association (EHA) recommended undertaking detailed epidemiological studies in all countries, particularly in Western Europe, as a prerequisite for the implementation of effective prevention programmes. Previously, there have been efforts to develop uniform standards for care of SCD across Europe (de Montalembert *et al*, 2011; Engert *et al*, 2016), but significant variation in practice persists. Two factors have recently highlighted the need for a more coordinated approach to diagnosis and management. Firstly, the globalization of migration flows has increased cultural diversity, bringing to Europe populations from areas with high prevalence of SCD and increasing the number of patients (Roberts & de Montalembert, 2007; Piel, 2016; Cortes-Castell *et al*, 2017; Inusa & Colombatti, 2017; Kunz *et al*, 2017). Secondly, health policies and health systems across the European Union (EU) are becoming increasingly interconnected, because of patients receiving healthcare across the EU, health professionals working in different EU

countries, higher expectations for healthcare and new developments in health technologies (EU 2011). The “Pan-European Consensus Conference on Newborn Screening for Haemoglobinopathies”, which took place in Berlin, Germany on 29–30 April 2017, brought together more than 50 experts with both laboratory and clinical backgrounds from 13 European countries; it was endorsed by EuroBloodNet, the European Reference Network (ERN) in Rare Haematological Diseases ([www.eurobloodnet.com](http://www.eurobloodnet.com)).

The conference had two major goals:

- 1 To provide an overview of current NBS policies and epidemiological data across Europe.
- 2 To identify key questions from both laboratory and clinical perspectives that relate to implementing and sustaining NBS programmes in Europe, and to attempt to reach a consensus statement on each of these questions.

The purpose of this paper is to report a summary of the data discussed at the conference and to present the consensus statements.

## Methodology

The idea of a European meeting to address priorities for SCD was first suggested at the Global Sickle Cell Disease Network (GSCDN) meeting in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 11–14 November 2014, and further developed at the 10th Annual Conference of the Academy of Sickle Cell and Thassaemia (ASCAT) in London, 5–7 October 2016. NBS was suggested as the first issue to be addressed, being the first specific intervention after birth.

Four months before the conference, clinical and laboratory experts in the field of SCD from European countries where SCD is considered a health care issue were invited to participate. Experts were selected on the basis of their publications and/or presentations at scientific meetings. They were joined by representatives from national scientific societies, national SCD reference centres and national NBS programmes.

**Table I.** Newborn screening programmes for sickle cell disease in Europe.

Country	Level	Coverage	Reference
Belgium	Regional (Brussels)	Universal	Gulbis <i>et al</i> (2009)
Belgium	Regional (Liège)	Universal	Gulbis <i>et al</i> (2009)
France	National	Targeted in metropolitan France and universal in overseas territories	Bardakdjian-Michau <i>et al</i> (2009) Saint-Martin <i>et al</i> (2013), Thuret <i>et al</i> (2010)
Netherlands	National	Universal	Bouva <i>et al</i> (2010)
Spain	National	Universal	Manu Pereira and Corrons (2009)
United Kingdom (England, Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland)	National	Universal	Ryan <i>et al</i> (2010) Streetly (2000, 2005) Streetly <i>et al</i> (2008, 2010, 2018)

Please note: The UK has a linked antenatal and neonatal screening programme for haemoglobinopathies. Cyprus and Turkey have antenatal programmes only (Angastiniotis & Hadjiminas, 1981; Kolnagou & Kontoghiorghes, 2009; Canatan, 2014; Kountouris *et al*, 2016).

Table II. Pilot studies on newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Europe.

Country	Level	Coverage	Reference
Germany	Regional (Berlin)	Universal	Frommel <i>et al</i> (2014) Lobitz <i>et al</i> (2014)
Germany	Regional (Hamburg)	Universal	Grosse <i>et al</i> (2016)
Germany	Regional (Southwest Germany)	Universal	Kunz <i>et al</i> (2016)
Germany	Regional (Berlin + Brandenburg)	Universal	Lobitz <i>et al</i>
Ireland	National	Targeted	Gibbons <i>et al</i> (2015)
Italy	Regional (Friuli Venezia Giulia)	Targeted	Unpublished observations
Italy	Regional (Modena)	Targeted	Lodi <i>et al</i> (2017)
Italy	Regional (Ferrara)	Targeted	Ballardini <i>et al</i> (2013)
Italy	Regional (Novara)	Targeted	Rolla <i>et al</i> (2014)
Italy	Interregional (Padova-Monza)	Universal	Martella <i>et al</i> (2017)

The steering committee (RC, EC, JE, SL) prepared a standardized form for the presentation of each country's national data on NBS (Appendix S1) that was sent to the speakers 1 month in advance of the conference. The committee also drafted a list of questions for consensus discussion (Appendix S2). On the first day of the conference, key topics in epidemiology, screening and NBS techniques were reviewed. Representatives from 12 countries (Cyprus, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, UK) then reported available data on NBS for haemoglobinopathies in their countries (agenda available in Appendix S3).

On the second day, consensus questions were discussed and experiences of NBS for SCD outside Europe were explored. The discussion was moderated by an independent non-European specialist (KOF) who was assisted by a patient representative (JJ).

## Results

### *National policies and country presentations*

National screening policies were found to be quite heterogeneous across European countries, and data on the number of affected patients were not available for every country. Moreover, there was no standardized approach to defining the population to be screened, the screening methodology and the flow of samples and patient reports.

England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland (Streetly *et al*, 2010, 2018), France (Bardakdjian-Michau *et al*, 2009; Couque *et al*, 2016), Spain (Manu Pereira & Corrons, 2009; Cela *et al*, 2017) and the Netherlands (Bouva *et al*, 2010; Jans *et al*, 2012) have established national NBS programmes for SCD. In Belgium, a regional screening programme has operated in Brussels and the surrounding areas since 1994 and in Liège and the surrounding areas since 2002 (Gulbis *et al*, 2009). Germany (Frommel *et al*, 2014; Lobitz *et al*, 2014; Grosse *et al*, 2016; Kunz *et al*, 2016), Ireland (Gibbons *et al*, 2015) and Italy (Ballardini

*et al*, 2013; Rolla *et al*, 2014; Lodi *et al*, 2017; Martella *et al*, 2017), reported completed pilot studies. Some countries have reported a reduction in mortality and SCD-related complications (Telfer *et al*, 2007; van der Plas *et al*, 2011; Le *et al*, 2018) and economic benefits for their health care systems (Okpala *et al*, 2002; Castilla-Rodríguez *et al*, 2016; Streetly *et al*, 2018).

Haemoglobinopathy programmes in Turkey and Cyprus are aimed at prevention and are based on premarital screening and prenatal diagnosis (Angastiniotis & Hadjiminias, 1981; Canatan, 2014; Kountouris *et al*, 2016). A few countries with evidence of increasing numbers of patients have not yet considered planning national strategies. Table I and II provides an overview of the status quo of NBS for SCD in Europe. Detailed data presented by country representatives are summarized in Table III and IV.

### *Consensus questions and statements*

*Do you agree that the future burden of SCD in Europe will be increasing?* It was undisputed that the burden of SCD in Europe has been increasing and is likely to continue to do so in the foreseeable future (Piel, 2016). This increase is due to three factors: (i) an increase in the number of newborns (Piel *et al*, 2013); (ii) an increase in life expectancy of SCD (Quinn *et al*, 2010; Le *et al*, 2015; Gardner *et al*, 2016) and (iii) an increase in the number of immigrants with SCD from areas of high prevalence (Inusa & Colombatti, 2017; Kunz *et al*, 2017).

These three factors make a variable contribution to the burden of SCD in different European countries. For example, in Spain, the number of SCD patients increased significantly 10–15 years ago as a result of immigration from Africa, but appears to have stabilized in the past few of years (Cela *et al*, 2017). In contrast, Italy, France and Germany have recently been accepting large numbers of refugees and have faced a dramatic increase in their patient numbers since 2014. In England, where there is a well-established linked newborn and antenatal screening programme for SCD and thalassaemia, a downward trend in reported screen positive results

Table III. Summary of presentations given by the country representatives during the conference. Part 1.

Country	Population [million]	Annual births (year)	National programme for endocrine/metabolic diseases	Voluntary or mandatory participation*	National NBS programme for HGP	HGP screening started (year)	SCD positive babies (year)	Estimated number of SCD patients (source)	Estimated number of patients (source)	References
Cyprus	1.2	9.341 (2013)	Yes	Voluntary	No	N/A	N/A	49 (registry)	592 (registry)	Angastiniotis and Hadjiminias (1981); Kolhagou and Kontoghiorghe (2009); Kountouris <i>et al</i> (2016)
England	54.3	661.496 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	For SCD	2006	278 (2014)	11.000 (registry)	1.000 (registry)	Ryan <i>et al</i> (2010); Streetly (2000, 2005); Streetly <i>et al</i> (2008, 2010, 2018)
France	67.0	828.856 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	For SCD	1995	466 (2015)	15.000 (expert opinion)	600 (registry)	Bardakjian-Michau <i>et al</i> (2009); Saint-Martin <i>et al</i> (2013); Thuret <i>et al</i> (2010)
Germany	82.2	714.927 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	No (but several pilots)	N/A	N/A	3.000-5.000 (expert opinion)	400 (expert opinion)	Frommel <i>et al</i> (2014); Grosse <i>et al</i> (2016); Kunz <i>et al</i> (2016); Lobitz <i>et al</i> (2014)
Ireland	4.8	67.558 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	No (but opt-in for both, pilot)	2003	16 (2016)	550 (screening data)	20 (screening data)	Gibbons <i>et al</i> (2015)
Italy	60.6	502.596 (2014)	Yes	Mandatory	No (but several pilots)	N/A	N/A	2.000 (expert opinion)	7.000 (expert opinion)	Ballardini <i>et al</i> (2013); Lodi <i>et al</i> (2017); Martella <i>et al</i> (2017); Rolla <i>et al</i> (2014)
Netherlands	17.0	176.952 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	For both	2007/2017 (SCD/Thal)	35 (2014)	1.500-2.000 (expert opinion)	100 (expert opinion)	Bouva <i>et al</i> (2010); Jans <i>et al</i> (2012)
Portugal	10.3	82.367 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	No	N/A	N/A	800-900 (expert opinion)	30-35 (expert opinion)	
Spain	47.6	427.595 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	For SCD	2015	28 (2014)	800 (registry)	100 (registry)	Cela <i>et al</i> (2017); Manu Pereira and Corrons (2009)
Sweden	9.9	114.907 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	No	N/A	N/A	Unknown	Unknown	
Switzerland	8.4	88.333 (2014)	Yes	Voluntary	No	N/A	N/A	200 (survey)	30 (survey)	
Turkey	81.6	1.337.504 (2014)	Yes	Mandatory	No	N/A	N/A	1.265 (registry)	3.135 (registry)	Canatan (2014)

\*Please note: In all participating countries virtually 100% of newborns are tested for endocrine and metabolic diseases. However, the target diseases vary from country to country.



Table IV. Summary of presentations given by the country representatives during the conference. Part 2.

Country	Registries for haemoglobin disorders	Coverage of NBS programme	First-tier screening method	Confirmation of positive results	Test quality data	Beneficial effects of NBS for haemoglobinopathies	Special features
Cyprus	For both	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No NBS, but very effective premarital screening programme
England	For both	Universal	HPLC, CE, MS/MS	Screening or specialist referral laboratory with same sample, but different method	Specificity 99%, sensitivity 100%	mortality = 1.7/1000 person years follow-up	Linked antenatal and neonatal screening programme, thalassaemia is not a formal target disease in NBS, but is reported if detected
France	For thalassaemia only	Universal in overseas territory, targeted in metropolitan France	HPLC, CE, IEF, MS MALDI-TOF	Screening laboratory with same sample, but different method	N/A	N/A	Decision for targeted screening based on an oral questionnaire
Germany	For SCD only	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Several pilot studies, application to introduce NBS for SCD submitted in May 2018
Ireland	For both	Targeted, based on a questionnaire	HPLC, CE	HPLC, IEF at reference centre	Specificity 99%, sensitivity 100%	No death <1 year since NBS commenced	Well-developed pilot screening programme available to every newborn in the country
Italy	Approved for both, not yet implemented	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Several NBS pilot studies, few regional registries, antenatal screening offered to all pregnant women
Netherlands	No	Universal	HPLC	No 2nd-tier method	Specificity 100%, sensitivity 100%	N/A	Local registries available; pilot study in planning
Portugal	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Thalassaemia is not a target disease, but reported if detected
Spain	For both	Universal	HPLC, CE	Variable, same method on same sample or same method on different sample	Specificity 100%, sensitivity 100%	N/A	Antenatal anaemia screening of all pregnant women
Sweden	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No NBS, but very effective premarital screening programme has reduced the number of affected birth by 90%
Switzerland	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Turkey	For both	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

CE, capillary electrophoresis; HGP, haemoglobinopathy; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; IEF, isoelectric focusing; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry; MS/MS, tandem mass spectrometry; N/A, not available; NBS, newborn screening; SCD, sickle cell disease.

is discernible in some areas (National Health Service [NHS] 2018). However, total patient numbers continue to increase due to the improved life expectancy attributed to the success of the national disease management programme and awareness campaigns (Gardner *et al*, 2016).

Many epidemiological questions on SCD remain unanswered due to the lack of standardized national data collection systems across Europe. A European Haemoglobinopathy Registry could enhance monitoring of changing demographics, service delivery and patient outcomes, and improve patient access to care (Inusa & Colombatti, 2017). Of the countries that participated in the conference, national registries for SCD exist in Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Greece, Spain and the UK (Cela *et al*, 2017; Kountouris *et al*, 2016; Kunz *et al*, 2017; Le *et al*, 2015; Voskaridou *et al*, 2012; <http://nhr.mdsas.com/>).

#### Consensus statements

- 1a. In Europe the burden of Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) has increased and will continue to increase.
- 1b. It is desirable that all European patients with SCD are enrolled onto registries, with standardized data collection and coordinated follow-up.

*What are the target diseases in a NBS programme for haemoglobinopathies?* The panel noted that there was good evidence for the benefit of detecting SCD at birth and was unanimous that SCD (all genotypes) should be the primary target disease of a NBS programme. Although there was insufficient evidence of a clinical benefit in diagnosing beta thalassaemia major in newborns, the panel supported the recommendation that a suspected diagnosis should be reported to the family. This consensus takes into account that beta thalassaemia major will be detected as a “by-product” of most test methods (“F only pattern”). All panel members agreed that it is advantageous to detect thalassaemia major early in order to counsel and prepare the family for the care of a sick child.

#### Consensus statements

- 2a. The target disease of a NBS programme for haemoglobinopathies is SCD, including all genotypes.
- 2b. Beta thalassaemia, whilst not a formal target disease of a NBS programme for haemoglobinopathies, should also be reported.

*What are the benefits of an early detection of SCD?* The panel noted good evidence that early detection of SCD reduces morbidity and mortality. In particular, IPD can be reduced by pneumococcal vaccination and early initiation of

prophylactic oral penicillin (Quinn *et al*, 2010; Le *et al*, 2015; Sobota *et al*, 2015; Couque *et al*, 2016; Gaston *et al*, 1986). This benefit of early detection may have reduced in recent years because children in most European countries receive conjugate pneumococcal vaccinations as part of routine infant vaccination schedules. However, strains not included in the vaccine remain a problem, which may worsen in the future (Payne *et al*, 2013; Tin Tin Htar *et al*, 2015; Waight *et al*, 2015; Camilli *et al*, 2017; Latasa Zamalloa *et al*, 2017; Oligbu *et al*, 2018). Antibiotic prophylaxis therefore remains necessary. Morbidity and mortality due to infections, acute anaemic episodes and vaso-occlusive events, such as acute chest syndrome, can be further reduced by parental education and clear pathways for accessing care and effective treatment protocols (Olney, 1999; Serjeant *et al*, 2018). The incidence of childhood stroke can also be reduced by about 90% through transcranial Doppler (TCD) screening from 2 years of age and transfusion of children with confirmed abnormal transcranial Doppler velocities (Adams *et al*, 1992, 1998; Adams & Brambilla, 2005).

The panel agreed that a NBS programme must be accompanied by a comprehensive care programme for affected infants. This requires a sufficient number of centres to provide access to comprehensive care, together with awareness campaigns and patient involvement throughout the geographical region of screening. A treatment guideline adapted to national specifics is desirable. However, as several guidelines are available in Europe, including a European recommendation on comprehensive care for children with SCD (de Montalembert *et al*, 2011), the presence of a national guideline is not mandatory.

#### Consensus Statement

3. Early diagnosis by NBS, together with anti-pneumococcal penicillin prophylaxis and vaccination, coordinated follow-up and parental education, reduces morbidity and mortality from SCD in childhood.

*Which countries should screen for SCD?* The panel agreed that it is not necessary to define a threshold of birth prevalence that would be required for the implementation of NBS for SCD. Nevertheless, epidemiological data should be available to support the decision to implement NBS screening (e.g. pilot studies, registry) and cost-effectiveness should be evaluated (Davies *et al*, 2000; Grosse *et al*, 2005; Castilla-Rodríguez *et al*, 2016; Kuznik *et al*, 2016).

The panel acknowledged that it is not possible to detect SCD as a by-product of tests currently used in NBS for metabolic or endocrine target diseases. NBS for SCD requires the addition of a further testing methodology to the existing NBS programme.



In principle, any screening programme should be cost-effective. There is evidence from the literature that cost-effectiveness of NBS for SCD is reached if the birth prevalence is in the order of 1:6000 births (Castilla-Rodríguez *et al*, 2016). However, other factors, such as organization of the screening programme (centralised *versus* de-centralised infrastructure), screening method and effectiveness of health care measures (Grosse, 2015), could also determine cost-effectiveness. Each screening programme should be periodically evaluated to ascertain its benefits.

#### Consensus statements

- 4a. The implementation of a national NBS programme for SCD should be informed by a review of national epidemiological data on SCD, but should not be based solely on a threshold birth prevalence. Where not available, these data should be collected.
- 4b. A NBS programme should be developed and implemented alongside a national disease management strategy.

*Who should be screened?* This question aimed to obtain a consensus on whether to screen all newborns (“universal NBS”) or only those newborns considered to be at risk on the basis of ethnic origin (“targeted NBS”). The panel agreed that NBS for SCD should be universal, i.e. all newborns should be screened independent of their putative ethnic origin.

Targeted screening is error-prone (Thuret *et al*, 2010) and could result in stigmatization of certain individuals from at-risk ethnic groups. Missed cases (false negatives) result from incorrectly assigning a parent to a low-risk ethnic group, failure to consider more distant ancestral origins, or to a range of administrative errors (Grosse, 2015). In countries where SCD is rare, health care professionals may not be aware of the individual risk for a couple. Language barriers may be another source of error, particularly for parents from at-risk immigrant populations in Europe who may not be familiar with the language of the new country. Considering the disadvantages of targeted screening approaches, the panel urges health care teams involved with antenatal and neonatal care to evaluate newborns on a case-by-case basis (carefully considering the family history) if there is no NBS programme in place.

In countries where all pregnant women are offered carrier testing (antenatal screening), universal NBS may be considered unnecessary. However, in practice, linkage of antenatal screening and NBS is operationally challenging. Furthermore, deficiencies in the antenatal screening pathway, such as failure to notify and counsel the mother of a positive carrier screening result, could impact the offer of NBS and result in failure to identify an affected infant.

#### Consensus statements

- 5a. The panel recommends universal NBS screening for SCD in all countries participating in the conference.
- 5b. Targeted screening based on ethnic origins is not recommended because of the higher risk of failure to identify an affected newborn.
- 5c. In countries where national NBS screening for SCD is not implemented, an interim policy should be agreed for testing at-risk newborns on a case-by-case basis according to family origins.

*Should carriers identified in NBS be informed about their result?* The carrier status (HbAS) is not completely harmless and is a risk factor for several complications, including heat-related rhabdomyolysis (Naik & Haywood, 2015; Kotila, 2016). These complications are nevertheless extremely rare and, unlike SCD, the carrier status does not fulfil the criteria required of a medical condition to justify NBS. However, it is reliably identified by the testing and can be considered as by-product of NBS screening. The identification of carriers is a potential instrument for future disease control (Roberts & de Montalembert, 2007; Jans *et al*, 2012; Piel, 2016). According to the patient representative (JJ), most carriers would like to know about their future risk of having an affected baby. Experiences from countries outside Europe show that parents are willing to receive this information (Ulph *et al*, 2014), and a variety of strategies have been adopted for informing parents of carrier results (e.g. [https://www.newbornscreening.on.ca/sites/default/files/pdfs/final\\_do\\_i\\_want\\_to\\_know\\_my\\_childs\\_carrier\\_status\\_for\\_parents\\_2015.pdf](https://www.newbornscreening.on.ca/sites/default/files/pdfs/final_do_i_want_to_know_my_childs_carrier_status_for_parents_2015.pdf)).

There was consensus that parents of carriers should be informed about these test results and that families should know that a disease-causing mutation is present, as this information may affect reproductive choices in the future. The panel also considered the knowledge of carrier status an important means of increasing awareness about SCD within society. The panel agreed that reporting positive carrier results should be followed by the offer of counselling of affected families by trained staff in order to avoid confusion and anxiety. The delivery of the information should follow a well-defined standardized policy. Such counselling is time-consuming and expensive and may not be feasible within the framework of a NBS programme. Patient organizations should be involved in the national decision-making process to define and plan such programmes.

It is important to acknowledge that in some European countries, including Germany and Switzerland, currently there are legal restrictions on reporting carrier status. The panel urges the national authorities to re-think these policies.

*Consensus statement*

6. SCD is a genetic condition. The knowledge of the carrier state in the family provides opportunities for prevention of affected births. The carrier status (all mutations that might cause SCD) should be reported and counselling offered to carriers.

The panel acknowledges that there is virtually no other evidence for this recommendation than solely “expert opinion” and encourages future research on this question. Any national decision-making process should take this into account.

*Which methods are recommended and which methods are acceptable?* The panel agreed that the conventional biochemical methods to separate haemoglobin variants, i.e. high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), capillary electrophoresis (CE) and isoelectric focusing (IEF), are all suitable for NBS. There was also consensus that tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) is an appropriate technology and it was noted that some countries are shifting to MS/MS as the first test. It was also acknowledged that other methods are emerging, e.g. matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and DNA-based methods (Hachani *et al*, 2011; Moat *et al*, 2014, 2017; Daniel & Henthorn, 2015, 2016; Theberge *et al*, 2015; Detemmerman *et al*, 2017). There was consensus that new methods should be demonstrated to be at least as sensitive and as specific as HPLC and CE before they be adopted for routine screening. Automated high-throughput methods are advisable for screening of large populations. The English NHS laboratory handbook can serve as a guide for other countries (NHS 2017).

*Consensus statements*

- 7a. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), capillary electrophoresis (CE), isoelectric focusing (IEF) and tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) are appropriate methods for NBS for SCD.
- 7b. New methods currently being tested should prove to be as specific and sensitive as HPLC and CE before being implemented on a larger scale.

*What is the recommended procedure after a positive screening result?* The approach to a first positive (presumptive SCD) screening result varies among the European countries and there were detailed discussions on the appropriate procedure after a positive screening result. The panel agreed that there

is a distinction between “best practice” and “acceptable practice” in different settings.

*NBS for SCD from dried blood spot samples* After a first-tier screening test indicates presumptive SCD, the “best practice” is to re-test with a fresh punch using a different method on the same sample and to subsequently confirm the positive screening result with one of the two initial tests or with a third method on a second sample. Second-tier testing aims to ensure that the right sample was tested as errors may emerge from the automated punching procedure using dried blood spot cards. In addition, it aims to increase the probability that the variant haemoglobin identified by the first-tier method is HbS, because definitive identification of HbS in newborn samples can only be obtained by DNA- or mass spectrometry-based methods. Confirmatory testing aims to make a diagnosis, given that screening is, by definition, not diagnostic.

It is “acceptable” to use the same method on a re-punch of the same sample if no second-tier screening method is available and to confirm the screening result with a second method on a second sample to make a diagnosis. Diagnosis should be confirmed by the end of the second month of life to ensure that penicillin prophylaxis is started in a timely way.

*NBS for SCD from cord blood and venous samples* After a first-tier screening test indicates presumptive SCD, it is necessary to confirm the positive screening result and the identity of HbS with another method on a second sample.

*Carrier identification* “Best practice” after a first-tier screening test indicates HbS heterozygosity is to re-test with a fresh punch using another method on the same sample. “Acceptable practice” is to use the same method on a fresh punch of the same sample. Confirmatory testing from a second sample is not recommended in presumptive carriers.

Please note: one expert (MJB) found a single positive screening test sufficient to proceed to confirmatory testing from another sample with another method. It appeared that there are regional differences in terms of the variety of haemoglobin variants found in NBS. While some laboratories reported a significant prevalence of haemoglobins with biophysical properties similar to HbS, other laboratories rarely or never observed haemoglobins migrating like HbS in HPLC, CE or IEF. This finding should be taken into consideration and included in risk assessment of protocols when the local decision on methods is made.

The appropriate communication of positive test results is of fundamental importance to reduce fear and anxiety in the families and to avoid stigmatization of the baby. Results should thus reflect the testing strategy and be communicated in a standardized way.

*Consensus statements*

- 8a. A haemoglobin pattern that is in accordance with any genotype of SCD requires a re-test with a fresh punch from the same sample. If available, a different method from the first one should be used (second-tier screening). If a second alternative method is not available, a re-test with the same method is acceptable. If the re-test is positive, the newborn should be re-called for confirmatory testing.
- 8b. Screen-positive newborns should be referred to a paediatric haematologist for counselling and confirmatory testing by a certified laboratory. The confirmatory test result should be available by the end of the second month of life. If not available at that time, penicillin prophylaxis should be initiated and continued at least until the result is available.
- 8c. In NBS programmes where carrier states are reported, any haemoglobin pattern in accordance with a carrier state requires a re-test with a fresh punch from the same sample, preferably using a different method.
- 8d. All children with SCD should be enrolled in a comprehensive care programme. The programme should ensure equal access to high-level clinical care.

*Consensuses on specific issues raised during the conference*

*Which blood specimens are recommended/acceptable for screening?* All kinds of blood specimens from the baby are appropriate for newborn screening (Nennstiel-Ratzel *et al*, 2011; NHS 2017).

*Do we need additional guidelines regarding NBS for SCD?*

The panel agreed that current NBS guidelines are appropriate to ensure reliable SCD screening results. Critical issues include prematurity, transfusions and maternal contamination in case of screening from cord blood. If a newborn should receive transfusions, re-screening 3 months after the last transfusion is indicated (Nennstiel-Ratzel *et al*, 2011; NHS 2017).

*Which false-negative and which false-positive rates are acceptable?* The panel agreed that false-negative and false-positive rates should be as low as possible. The screening programme should thus be under constant review, e.g. by external quality assessment services, to constantly improve its quality.

**Conclusions**

Sickle cell disease is becoming a priority for European Health Care Systems. NBS enables a child to be diagnosed with SCD before presenting with symptoms and provides an opportunity to ensure early entry into a comprehensive care programme. The increased burden of SCD in Europe and the growing

interconnections among European Health Care Systems raise the need for a common approach to NBS. This panel recommends universal NBS in all countries participating in the conference, collection of data on clinical outcomes through setting up of registries and development of shared clinical protocols for comprehensive care of all affected newborns. Raising public awareness about SCD is recommended, as well as focused education about the condition for health care workers, allied professionals, managers and commissioners of health care systems.

**Statement on levels of evidence**

The authors would like to emphasize that the level of evidence for most of the following recommendations is “expert opinion”. Nevertheless, all questions have been discussed very carefully and all recommendations were made in all conscience.

**Acknowledgments**

RC, EC, JE and SL organised the conference, SL hosted the conference in Berlin. RC and SL wrote the manuscript. PT edited the manuscript. All authors joined the conference and actively contributed to the discussions. All authors reviewed the manuscript and commented on it.

**Statement on industry and corporate sponsorship**

The conference was sponsored by Novartis (€5000), Celgene (€4000), Nordic Pharma (€3500), SpotOn Clinical Diagnostics (€2500), Biomaneo (€2000), PerkinElmer (€2000), Swedish Orphan Biovitrum (€2000), Bluebird Bio (€1500), myTomorrows (€1500), Chromsystems (€1000), Biorad (€1000), Sebia (€1000) and Resonance Health (€500). The authors declare that these donations were unrestricted educational donations and did not influence any decision and thank the sponsors of the conference for their generous financial support.

**Disclosures and competing interests**

The authors have various disclosures and competing interests. All statements are available in Appendix S4.

**Supporting Information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**Appendix S1.** Template for the presentation of national data

**Appendix S2.** Questions to guide the consensus-finding process

**Appendix S3.** Agenda of the Pan-European Consensus Conference on Newborn Screening for Haemoglobinopathies (speakers in brackets)

**Appendix S4.** Disclosures and competing interests statements

## References

- Adams, R.J. & Brambilla, D.; Optimizing Primary Stroke Prevention in Sickle Cell Anemia (STOP 2) Trial Investigators. (2005) Discontinuing prophylactic transfusions used to prevent stroke in sickle cell disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **353**, 2769–2778.
- Adams, R.J., Nichols, F.T., Figueroa, R., McKie, V. & Lott, T. (1992) Transcranial Doppler correlation with cerebral angiography in sickle cell disease. *Stroke*, **23**, 1073–1077.
- Adams, R.J., McKie, V.C., Hsu, L., Files, B., Vichinsky, E., Pegelow, C., Abboud, M., Gallagher, D., Kutlar, A., Nichols, F.T., Bonds, D.R. & Brambilla, D. (1998) Prevention of a first stroke by transfusions in children with sickle cell anemia and abnormal results on transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **339**, 5–11.
- Angastiniotis, M.A. & Hadjiminias, M.G. (1981) Prevention of thalassaemia in Cyprus. *Lancet*, **1**, 369–371.
- Ballardini, E., Tarocco, A., Marsella, M., Bernardoni, R., Carandina, G., Melandri, C., Guerra, G., Patella, A., Zucchelli, M., Ferlini, A., Bigoni, S., Ravani, A., Garani, G. & Borgna-Pignatti, C. (2013) Universal neonatal screening for sickle cell disease and other haemoglobinopathies in Ferrara, Italy. *Blood Transfusion*, **11**, 245–249.
- Ballas, S.K., Lieff, S., Benjamin, L.J., Dampier, C.D., Heeney, M.M., Hoppe, C., Johnson, C.S., Rogers, Z.R., Smith-Whitley, K., Wang, W.C. & Telen, M.J. (2010) Definitions of the phenotypic manifestations of sickle cell disease. *American Journal of Hematology*, **85**, 6–13.
- Bardakjian-Michau, J., Bahuau, M., Hurltel, D., Godart, C., Riou, J., Mathis, M., Goossens, M., Badens, C., Ducrocq, R., Elion, J. & Perini, J.M. (2009) Neonatal screening for sickle cell disease in France. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **62**, 31–33.
- Bou-Maroun, L.M., Meta, F., Hanba, C.J., Campbell, A.D. & Yanik, G.A. (2018) An analysis of inpatient pediatric sickle cell disease: incidence, costs, and outcomes. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **65**, e26758.
- Bouva, M.J., Mohrmann, K., Brinkman, H.B., Kemper-Proper, E.A., Elvers, B., Loeber, J.G., Verheul, F.E. & Giordano, P.C. (2010) Implementing neonatal screening for haemoglobinopathies in the Netherlands. *Journal of Medical Screening*, **17**, 58–65.
- Brozovic, M., Davies, S.C. & Brownell, A.I. (1987) Acute admissions of patients with sickle cell disease who live in Britain. *British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Ed)*, **294**, 1206–1208.
- Camilli, R., D'Ambrosio, F., Del Grosso, M., Pimentel de Araujo, F., Caporali, M.G., Del Manso, M., Gherardi, G., D'Ancona, F., Pantosti, A. & Pneumococcal Surveillance, G. (2017) Impact of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7 and PCV13) on pneumococcal invasive diseases in Italian children and insight into evolution of pneumococcal population structure. *Vaccine*, **35**, 4587–4593.
- Canatan, D. (2014) Thalassemias and hemoglobinopathies in Turkey. *Hemoglobin*, **38**, 305–307.
- Castilla-Rodríguez, I., Cela, E., Vallejo-Torres, L., Valcárcel-Nazco, C., Dulín, E., Espada, M., Rausell, D., Mar, J. & Serrano-Aguilar, P. (2016) Cost-effectiveness analysis of newborn screening for sickle-cell disease in Spain. *Expert Opinion on Orphan Drugs*, **4**, 567–575.
- Cela, E., Bellon, J.M., de la Cruz, M., Belendez, C., Berruero, R., Ruiz, A., Elorza, I., Diaz de Heredia, C., Cervera, A., Valles, G., Salinas, J.A., Coll, M.T., Bermudez, M., Prudencio, M., Argiles, B. & Vecilla, C.; SEHOP-Hemoglobinopathies Study Group (Sociedad Española de Hematología y Oncología Pediátricas). (2017) National registry of hemoglobinopathies in Spain (REPHem). *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **64**, <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/pbc.26322>.
- Colombatti, R., Dalla Pozza, L.V., Mazzucato, M., Sainati, L., Pierobon, M. & Facchin, P. (2008) Hospitalization of children with sickle cell disease in a region with increasing immigration rates. *Haematologica*, **93**, 463–464.
- Cortes-Castell, E., Palazon-Bru, A., Pla, C., Goicoechea, M., Rizo-Baeza, M.M., Juste, M. & Gil-Guillen, V.F. (2017) Impact of prematurity and immigration on neonatal screening for sickle cell disease. *PLoS ONE*, **12**, e0171604.
- Couque, N., Girard, D., Ducrocq, R., Boizeau, P., Haouari, Z., Missud, F., Holvoet, L., Ithier, G., Belloy, M., Odievre, M.H., Benemou, M., Benhaim, P., Retali, B., Bensaid, P., Monier, B., Brousse, V., Amira, R., Orzechowski, C., Lesprit, E., Mangyanda, L., Garrec, N., Elion, J., Alberti, C., Baruchel, A. & Benkerrou, M. (2016) Improvement of medical care in a cohort of newborns with sickle-cell disease in North Paris: impact of national guidelines. *British Journal of Haematology*, **173**, 927–937.
- Daniel, Y. & Henthorn, J. (2015) Tandem Mass Spectrometry for Sickle Cell and Thalassemia Newborn Screening Pilot Study. *Report to the National Health Service (NHS)*. Public Health England, London. © Crown copyright 2015.
- Daniel, Y.A. & Henthorn, J. (2016) Newborn screening for sickling and other haemoglobin disorders using tandem mass spectrometry: a pilot study of methodology in laboratories in England. *Journal of Medical Screening*, **23**, 175–178.
- Davies, S.C., Cronin, E., Gill, M., Greengross, P., Hickman, M. & Normand, C. (2000) Screening for sickle cell disease and thalassaemia: a systematic review with supplementary research. *Health Technology Assessment*, **4**, i–v, 1–99.
- Detemmerman, L., Olivier, S., Bours, V. & Boemer, F. (2017) Innovative PCR without DNA extraction for African sickle cell disease diagnosis. *Hematology*, **23**, 181–186.
- Engert, A., Balduini, C., Brand, A., Coiffier, B., Cordonnier, C., Dohner, H., de Wit, T.D., Eichinger, S., Fibbe, W., Green, T., de Haas, F., Iolascon, A., Jaffredo, T., Rodeghiero, F., Salles, G. & Schuringa, J.J.; for the European Hematology Association Roadmap for European Hematology Research. (2016) The European Hematology Association Roadmap for European Hematology Research: a consensus document. *Haematologica*, **101**, 115–208.
- EU. (2011) Directive 2011/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011 on the application of patients' rights in cross-border healthcare. *The Official Journal of the European Union*, **54**, 4 April 2011. L 88/45–88/65.
- Falletta, J.M., Woods, G.M., Verter, J.I., Buchanan, G.R., Pegelow, C.H., Iyer, R.V., Miller, S.T., Holbrook, C.T., Kinney, T.R., Vichinsky, E., Becton, D.L., Wang, W., Johnstone, H.S., Wethers, D.L., Reaman, G.H., DeBaun, M.R., Grossman, N.J., Kalinyak, K., Jorgensen, J.H., Bjornson, A., Thomas, M.D. & Reid, C. (1995) Discontinuing penicillin prophylaxis in children with sickle cell anemia. Prophylactic Penicillin Study II. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, **127**, 685–690.
- Frommel, C., Brose, A., Klein, J., Blankenstein, O. & Lobitz, S. (2014) Newborn screening for sickle cell disease: technical and legal aspects of a German pilot study with 38,220 participants. *BioMed Research International*, **2014**, 695828.
- Gardner, K., Douiri, A., Drasar, E., Allman, M., Mwirigi, A., Awogbade, M. & Thein, S.L. (2016) Survival in adults with sickle cell disease in a high-income setting. *Blood*, **128**, 1436–1438.
- Gaston, M.H., Verter, J.I., Woods, G., Pegelow, C., Kelleher, J., Presbury, G., Zarkowsky, H., Vichinsky, E., Iyer, R., Lobel, J.S., Diamond, S., Holbrook, C.T., Gill, F.M., Ritchey, K. & Falletta, J.M. (1986) Prophylaxis with oral penicillin in children with sickle cell anemia. A randomized trial. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **314**, 1593–1599.
- Gibbons, C., Geoghegan, R., Conroy, H., Lippacott, S., O'Brien, D., Lynam, P., Langabeer, L., Cotter, M., Smith, O. & McMahon, C. (2015) Sickle cell disease: time for a targeted neonatal screening programme. *Irish Medical Journal*, **108**, 43–45.
- Grosse, S.D. (2015) Showing value in newborn screening: challenges in quantifying the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of early detection of phenylketonuria and cystic fibrosis. *Healthcare (Basel)*, **3**, 1133–1157.
- Grosse, S.D., Olney, R.S. & Baily, M.A. (2005) The cost effectiveness of universal versus selective newborn screening for sickle cell disease in the US and the UK: a critique. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, **4**, 239–247.
- Grosse, S.D., Odame, I., Atrash, H.K., Amendah, D.D., Piel, F.B. & Williams, T.N. (2011) Sickle cell disease in Africa: a neglected cause of early childhood mortality. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, **41**, S398–S405.
- Grosse, R., Lukacs, Z., Cobos, P.N., Oyen, F., Ehmen, C., Muntau, B., Timmann, C. & Noack, B. (2016) The prevalence of sickle cell disease and its implication for newborn screening in Germany (Hamburg Metropolitan Area). *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **63**, 168–170.
- Gulbis, B., Cotton, F., Ferster, A., Ketelslegers, O., Dresse, M.F., Ronge-Collard, E., Minon, J.M.,



- Le, P.Q. & Vertongen, F. (2009) Neonatal haemoglobinopathy screening in Belgium. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **62**, 49–52.
- Hachani, J., Duban-Deweere, S., Pottiez, G., Renom, G., Flahaut, C. & Perini, J.M. (2011) MALDI-TOF MS profiling as the first-tier screen for sickle cell disease in neonates: matching throughput to objectives. *Proteomics Clinical Applications*, **5**, 405–414.
- Inusa, B.P.D. & Colombatti, R. (2017) European migration crises: the role of national hemoglobinopathy registries in improving patient access to care. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **64**, e26515.
- Jans, S.M., van El, C.G., Houwaart, E.S., Westerman, M.J., Janssens, R.J., Lagro-Janssen, A.L., Plass, A.M. & Cornel, M.C. (2012) A case study of haemoglobinopathy screening in the Netherlands: witnessing the past, lessons for the future. *Ethnicity and Health*, **17**, 217–239.
- Kolnagou, A. & Kontoghiorghe, G.J. (2009) Advances in the prevention and treatment are changing thalassemia from a fatal to a chronic disease. experience from a Cyprus model and its use as a paradigm for future applications. *Hemoglobin*, **33**, 287–295.
- Kotila, T.R. (2016) Sickle cell trait: a Benign State? *Acta Haematologica*, **136**, 147–151.
- Kountouris, P., Kousiappa, I., Papasavva, T., Christopoulos, G., Pavlou, E., Petrou, M., Feleki, X., Karitzie, E., Phylactides, M., Fanis, P., Lederer, C.W., Kyrii, A.R., Kalogerou, E., Makariou, C., Ioannou, C., Kythreotis, L., Hadjilambi, G., Andreou, N., Pangelou, E., Savvidou, I., Angastiniotis, M., Hadjigavriel, M., Sitarou, M., Kolnagou, A., Kleanthous, M. & Christou, S. (2016) The molecular spectrum and distribution of haemoglobinopathies in Cyprus: a 20-year retrospective study. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 26371.
- Kunz, J.B., Awad, S., Happich, M., Muckenthaler, L., Lindner, M., Gramer, G., Okun, J.G., Hoffmann, G.F., Bruckner, T., Muckenthaler, M.U. & Kulozik, A.E. (2016) Significant prevalence of sickle cell disease in Southwest Germany: results from a birth cohort study indicate the necessity for newborn screening. *Annals of Hematology*, **95**, 397–402.
- Kunz, J.B., Cario, H., Grosse, R., Jarisch, A., Lobitz, S. & Kulozik, A.E. (2017) The epidemiology of sickle cell disease in Germany following recent large-scale immigration. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **64**, e26550.
- Kuznik, A., Habib, A.G., Munube, D. & Lamorde, M. (2016) Newborn screening and prophylactic interventions for sickle cell disease in 47 countries in sub-Saharan Africa: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *BMC Health Services Research*, **16**, 304.
- Lanzkron, S., Carroll, C.P. & Haywood, C. Jr (2010) The burden of emergency department use for sickle-cell disease: an analysis of the national emergency department sample database. *American Journal of Hematology*, **85**, 797–799.
- Latasa Zamalloa, P., Sanz Moreno, J.C., Ordobas Gavin, M., Barranco Ordóñez, M.D., Insua Marisquerena, E., Gil de Miguel, A., Fernández Chavez, A.C. & García-Comas, L. (2017) [Trends of invasive pneumococcal disease and its serotypes in the Autonomous Community of Madrid]. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2017.10.026>
- Le, P.Q., Gulbis, B., Dedeken, L., Dupont, S., Vanderfaellie, A., Heijmans, C., Huybrechts, S., Devalck, C., Eflra, A., Dresse, M.F., Rozen, L., Benghiat, F.S. & Ferster, A. (2015) Survival among children and adults with sickle cell disease in Belgium: benefit from hydroxyurea treatment. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **62**, 1956–1961.
- Le, P.Q., Ferster, A., Dedeken, L., Vermynen, C., Vanderfaellie, A., Rozen, L., Heijmans, C., Huybrechts, S., Devalck, C., Cotton, F., Ketelslegers, O., Dresse, M.F., Fils, J.F. & Gulbis, B. (2018) Neonatal screening improves sickle cell disease clinical outcome in Belgium. *Journal of Medical Screening*, **25**, 57–63.
- Lobitz, S., Frommel, C., Brose, A., Klein, J. & Blankenstein, O. (2014) Incidence of sickle cell disease in an unselected cohort of neonates born in Berlin, Germany. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, **22**, 1051–1053.
- Lobitz, S., Klein, J., Brose, A., Blankenstein, O. & Frommel, C. (2018) Newborn screening by tandem mass spectrometry confirms the high prevalence of sickle cell disease among German newborns. *Ann Hematol*.
- Lodi, M., Bigi, E., Palazzi, G., Vecchi, L., Morandi, R., Setti, M., Borsari, S., Bergonzini, G., Iughetti, L. & Venturelli, D. (2017) Universal screening program in pregnant women and newborns at-risk for sickle cell disease: first report from Northern Italy. *Hemoglobin*, **41**, 230–233.
- Makani, J., Cox, S.E., Soka, D., Komba, A.N., Oruo, J., Mwanjemi, H., Magesa, P., Rwezaula, S., Meda, E., Mgya, J., Lowe, B., Muturi, D., Roberts, D.J., Williams, T.N., Pallangyo, K., Kitundu, J., Fegan, G., Kirkham, F.J., Marsh, K. & Newton, C.R. (2011) Mortality in sickle cell anemia in Africa: a prospective cohort study in Tanzania. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e14699.
- Manu Pereira, M. & Corrons, J.L. (2009) Neonatal haemoglobinopathy screening in Spain. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **62**, 22–25.
- Martella, M., Cattaneo, L., Viola, G., Azzena, S., Cappellari, A., Baraldi, E., Zorloni, C., Masera, N., Biondi, A., Basso, G., Colombatti, R. & Sainati, L. (2017) Universal Newborn Screening for Sickle Cell Disease: Preliminary Results of the First Year of a Multicentric Italian Project. EHA Learning Center. May 18, 2017; 181265, Abstract E1489. <https://learningcenter.ehaweb.org/eha/2017/22nd/181265/raffaella.colombatti.universal.newborn.screening.for.sickle.cell.disease.html>
- Moat, S.J., Rees, D., King, L., Ifederu, A., Harvey, K., Hall, K., Lloyd, G., Morrell, C. & Hillier, S. (2014) Newborn blood spot screening for sickle cell disease by using tandem mass spectrometry: implementation of a protocol to identify only the disease states of sickle cell disease. *Clinical Chemistry*, **60**, 373–380.
- Moat, S.J., Rees, D., George, R.S., King, L., Dodd, A., Ifederu, A., Ramgoolam, T. & Hillier, S. (2017) Newborn screening for sickle cell disorders using tandem mass spectrometry: three years' experience of using a protocol to detect only the disease states. *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry*, **54**, 601–611.
- de Montalembert, M., Ferster, A., Colombatti, R., Rees, D.C. & Gulbis, B. (2011) ENERCA clinical recommendations for disease management and prevention of complications of sickle cell disease in children. *American Journal of Hematology*, **86**, 72–75.
- Naik, R.P. & Haywood, C. Jr (2015) Sickle cell trait diagnosis: clinical and social implications. *Hematology. American Society of Hematology. Education Program American Society of Hematology Education Program*, **2015**, 160–167.
- Nennstiel-Ratzel, U., Genzel-Boroviczeny, O., Böhles, H., Fusch, C., Grüters-Kieslich, A., Mohnik, K., Rossi, R., Ensenauer, R., Odenwald, B. & Hoffmann, G. (2011) [Newborn Screening on innate metabolic disturbances and endocrinopathies AWMF. © Society for Neonatology and Paediatric Intensive Care]. [https://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx\\_szleitlinien/024-012l\\_S2k\\_Neugeborenencreening\\_2011-12-abgelaufen.pdf](https://www.awmf.org/uploads/tx_szleitlinien/024-012l_S2k_Neugeborenencreening_2011-12-abgelaufen.pdf)
- NHS. (2017) NHS Sickle Cell and Thalassaemia Screening Programme - Handbook for Newborn Laboratories. Public Health England, London. © Crown copyright 2017.
- NHS. (2018) NHS Sickle Cell and Thalassaemia Screening Programme, Data Report 2016 to 2017: Trends and Performance Analysis. Public Health England, London. © Crown copyright 2018.
- Okpala, I., Thomas, V., Westerdale, N., Jegede, T., Raj, K., Daley, S., Costello-Binger, H., Mullen, J., Rochester-Pearl, C., Helps, S., Tulloch, E., Akpala, M., Dick, M., Bewley, S., Davies, M. & Abbs, I. (2002) The comprehensiveness care of sickle cell disease. *European Journal of Haematology*, **68**, 157–162.
- Oligbu, G., Collins, S., Sheppard, C., Fry, N., Dick, M., Streetly, A. & Ladhani, S. (2018) Risk of invasive pneumococcal disease in children with sickle cell disease in England: a National Observational Cohort Study, 2010–2015. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, **103**, 643–647.
- Olney, R.S. (1999) Preventing morbidity and mortality from sickle cell disease. A public health perspective. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, **16**, 116–121.
- Overturf, G. & Powars, D. (1980) Infections in sickle cell anemia: pathogenesis and control. *Texas Reports on Biology and Medicine*, **40**, 283–292.
- Overturf, G.D., Powars, D. & Baraff, L.J. (1977) Bacterial meningitis and septicemia in sickle cell disease. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, **131**, 784–787.
- Payne, A.B., Link-Gelles, R., Azonobi, I., Hooper, W.C., Beall, B.W., Jorgensen, J.H., Juni, B. & Moore, M.; Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Team. (2013) Invasive pneumococcal disease among children with and without sickle cell disease in the United States, 1998 to 2009. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, **32**, 1308–1312.

- Piel, F.B. (2016) The present and future global burden of the inherited disorders of hemoglobin. *Hematology/oncology Clinics of North America*, **30**, 327–341.
- Piel, F.B., Patil, A.P., Howes, R.E., Nyangiri, O.A., Gething, P.W., Dewi, M., Temperley, W.H., Williams, T.N., Weatherall, D.J. & Hay, S.I. (2013) Global epidemiology of sickle haemoglobin in neonates: a contemporary geostatistical model-based map and population estimates. *Lancet*, **381**, 142–151.
- Piel, F.B., Adamkiewicz, T.V., Amendah, D., Williams, T.N., Gupta, S. & Grosse, S.D. (2016) Observed and expected frequencies of structural hemoglobin variants in newborn screening surveys in Africa and the Middle East: deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. *Genetics in Medicine*, **18**, 265–274.
- Piel, F.B., Steinberg, M.H. & Rees, D.C. (2017) Sickle cell disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **376**, 1561–1573.
- van der Plas, E.M., van den Tweel, X.W., Geskus, R.B., Heijboer, H., Biemond, B.J., Peters, M. & Fijnvandraat, K. (2011) Mortality and causes of death in children with sickle cell disease in the Netherlands, before the introduction of neonatal screening. *British Journal of Haematology*, **155**, 106–110.
- Powars, D., Overturf, G. & Turner, E. (1983) Is there an increased risk of Haemophilus influenzae septicaemia in children with sickle cell anemia? *Pediatrics*, **71**, 927–931.
- Quinn, C.T., Rogers, Z.R., McCavit, T.L. & Buchanan, G.R. (2010) Improved survival of children and adolescents with sickle cell disease. *Blood*, **115**, 3447–3452.
- Rankine-Mullings, A.E. & Owusu-Ofori, S. (2017) Prophylactic antibiotics for preventing pneumococcal infection in children with sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review*, **10**, CD003427.
- Raphael, J.L., Rattler, T.L., Kowalkowski, M.A., Mueller, B.U. & Giordano, T.P. (2013) The medical home experience among children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, **60**, 275–280.
- Roberts, I. & de Montalembert, M. (2007) Sickle cell disease as a paradigm of immigration hematology: new challenges for hematologists in Europe. *Haematologica*, **92**, 865–871.
- Rolla, R., Castagno, M., Zaffaroni, M., Grigollo, B., Colombo, S., Piccotti, S., Dellora, C., Bona, G. & Bellomo, G. (2014) Neonatal screening for sickle cell disease and other hemoglobinopathies in “the changing Europe”. *Clinical Laboratory*, **60**, 2089–2093.
- Ryan, K., Bain, B.J., Worthington, D., James, J., Plews, D., Mason, A., Roper, D., Rees, D.C., de la Salle, B. & Streetly, A.; British Committee for Standards in Haematology. (2010) Significant haemoglobinopathies: guidelines for screening and diagnosis. *British Journal of Haematology*, **149**, 35–49.
- Saint-Martin, C., Romana, M., Bibrac, A., Brudey, K., Tarer, V., Divialle-Doumou, L., Petras, M., Keclard-Christophe, L., Lamothe, S., Broquere, C. & Etienne-Julan, M. (2013) Universal newborn screening for haemoglobinopathies in Guadeloupe (French West Indies): a 27-year experience. *Journal of Medical Screening*, **20**, 177–182.
- Serjeant, G. (2017) World sickle cell day: lessons for India. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, **145**, 705–707.
- Serjeant, G.R., Chin, N., Asnani, M.R., Serjeant, B.E., Mason, K.P., Hambleton, I.R. & Knight-Madden, J.M. (2018) Causes of death and early life determinants of survival in homozygous sickle cell disease: the Jamaican cohort study from birth. *PLoS ONE*, **13**, e0192710.
- Sobota, A., Sabharwal, V., Fonebi, G. & Steinberg, M. (2015) How we prevent and manage infection in sickle cell disease. *British Journal of Haematology*, **170**, 757–767.
- Streetly, A. (2000) A national screening policy for sickle cell disease and thalassaemia major for the United Kingdom. Questions are left after two evidence based reports. *BMJ*, **320**, 1353–1354.
- Streetly, A. (2005) Screening for major haemoglobinopathies. *RCM Midwives*, **8**, 62–63.
- Streetly, A., Clarke, M., Downing, M., Farrar, L., Foo, Y., Hall, K., Kemp, H., Newbold, J., Walsh, P., Yates, J. & Henthorn, J. (2008) Implementation of the newborn screening programme for sickle cell disease in England: results for 2003–2005. *Journal of Medical Screening*, **15**, 9–13.
- Streetly, A., Latinovic, R. & Henthorn, J. (2010) Positive screening and carrier results for the England-wide universal newborn sickle cell screening programme by ethnicity and area for 2005–07. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **63**, 626–629.
- Streetly, A., Sisodia, R., Dick, M., Latinovic, R., Hounsell, K. & Dormandy, E. (2018) Evaluation of newborn sickle cell screening programme in England: 2010–2016. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, **103**, 648–653.
- Telfer, P., Coen, P., Chakravorty, S., Wilkey, O., Evans, J., Newell, H., Smalling, B., Amos, R., Stephens, A., Rogers, D. & Kirkham, F. (2007) Clinical outcomes in children with sickle cell disease living in England: a neonatal cohort in East London. *Haematologica*, **92**, 905–912.
- Theberge, R., Dikler, S., Heckendorf, C., Chui, D.H., Costello, C.E. & McComb, M.E. (2015) MALDI-MS mass spectrometry analysis of hemoglobin variants: a top-down approach to the characterization of hemoglobinopathies. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, **26**, 1299–1310.
- Thuret, I., Sarles, J., Merono, F., Suzineau, E., Colomb, J., Lena-Russo, D., Levy, N., Bardakdjian, J. & Badens, C. (2010) Neonatal screening for sickle cell disease in France: evaluation of the selective process. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, **63**, 548–551.
- Tin Tin Htar, M., Christophoulou, D. & Schmitt, H.J. (2015) Pneumococcal serotype evolution in Western Europe. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, **15**, 419.
- Ulph, F., Cullinan, T., Qureshi, N. & Kai, J. (2014) Informing children of their newborn screening carrier result for sickle cell or cystic fibrosis: qualitative study of parents’ intentions, views and support needs. *The Journal of Genetic Counseling*, **23**, 409–420.
- Vichinsky, E., Hurst, D., Earles, A., Kleman, K. & Lubin, B. (1988) Newborn screening for sickle cell disease: effect on mortality. *Pediatrics*, **81**, 749–755.
- Voskaridou, E., Ladis, V., Kattamis, A., Hassapopoulou, E., Economou, M., Kouraki, A., Maragkos, K., Kontogianni, K., Lafioniatis, S., Vrettou, E., Koutsouka, F., Papadakis, A., Mihos, A., Eftihiadis, E., Farmaki, K., Papageorgiou, O., Tapaki, G., Maili, P., Theohari, M., Drosou, M., Kartasis, Z., Aggelaki, M., Basileiadi, A., Adamopoulos, I., Lafiatis, I., Galanopoulos, A., Xanthopoulos, G., Dimitriadou, E., Mprimi, A., Stamatopoulou, M., Haile, E.D., Tsironi, M., Anastasiadis, A., Kalmanti, M., Papadopoulou, M., Panori, E., Dimoxenou, P., Tsirka, A., Georgakopoulos, D., Drandrakis, P., Dionisopoulou, D., Ntalamaga, A., Davros, I. & Karagiorga, M.; for the Greek Haemoglobinopathies Study Group. (2012) A national registry of haemoglobinopathies in Greece: deduced demographics, trends in mortality and affected births. *Annals of Hematology*, **91**, 1451–1458.
- Waight, P.A., Andrews, N.J., Ladhani, S.N., Sheppard, C.L., Slack, M.P. & Miller, E. (2015) Effect of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on invasive pneumococcal disease in England and Wales 4 years after its introduction: an observational cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, **15**, 535–543.
- Wang, C.J., Kavanagh, P.L., Little, A.A., Holliman, J.B. & Sprinz, P.G. (2011) Quality-of-care indicators for children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatrics*, **128**, 484–493.
- Ware, R.E., de Montalembert, M., Tshilolo, L. & Abboud, M.R. (2017) Sickle cell disease. *Lancet*, **390**, 311–323.
- Wong, W.Y., Powars, D.R., Chan, L., Hiti, A., Johnson, C. & Overturf, G. (1992a) Polysaccharide encapsulated bacterial infection in sickle cell anemia: a thirty year epidemiologic experience. *American Journal of Hematology*, **39**, 176–182.
- Wong, W.Y., Overturf, G.D. & Powars, D.R. (1992b) Infection caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae in children with sickle cell disease: epidemiology, immunologic mechanisms, prophylaxis, and vaccination. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, **14**, 1124–1136.
- Yawn, B.P., Buchanan, G.R., Afenyi-Annan, A.N., Ballas, S.K., Hassell, K.L., James, A.H., Jordan, L., Lanzkron, S.M., Lottenberg, R., Savage, W.J., Tanabe, P.J., Ware, R.E., Murad, M.H., Goldsmith, J.C., Ortiz, E., Fulwood, R., Horton, A. & John-Sowah, J. (2014) Management of sickle cell disease: summary of the 2014 evidence-based report by expert panel members. *JAMA*, **312**, 1033–1048.